State		Minnesota
BMP Manual	BMP Manual Date	2013
		http://www.frc.state.mn.us/documents/council/site-
	BMP Manual	level/MFRC_Revised%20Forest%20Management%20Gu
		idelines%20%282012%29.pdf
State BM	IP Regulation	Non-Regulatory
BMP Monitoring	BMP Monitoring	Yes
	Most Recent Monitoring:	2011
	Next Planned Monitoring:	2014
	Agency(s) Involved in Monitoring:	Forestry
	When Sites are Monitored	Post-Harvest

State BMP Implementation Rate	State BMP Implementation Rate (%)	83
(%)	Year	2011
, ,	Timber Harvesting:	None Reported
	Forest Roads:	86
	Skid Trails:	None Reported
	Log Landings:	90
	Stream Crossings:	91
	SMZ's:	71
	Wetlands:	87
	Reforestation:	None Reported
	Mechanical Site Preparation:	92
	Chemical Site Preparation:	75
	Pesticide Applications:	75
	Fertilizer Applications:	None Reported
	Prescribed Burning:	78
	Wildfire Suppression:	None Reported
	Wildfire Rehabilitation:	None Reported
	Public Lands:	None Reported
Agencies Involved in BMP	Timber Harvesting:	Other
Guideline and Policy	Forest Roads:	Other
Development	Skid Trails:	Other
	Log Landings:	Other
	Stream Crossings:	Other
	SMZ's:	Other
	Wetlands:	Other
	Reforestation:	Forestry
	Mechanical Site Preparation:	Other
	Chemical Site Preparation:	Other
	Pesticide Applications:	Other
	Fertilizer Applications:	Other
	Prescribed Burning:	Other
	Wildfire Suppression:	Forestry
	Wildfire Rehabilitation:	Forestry
	Public Lands:	None Reported
Most Recent BMP Guidelines	Timber Harvesting:	2010 Revised

(Written or Revised)	Forest Roads:	2010 Revised
(11220022 02 230 12002)	Skid Trails:	1995 Written
	Log Landings:	1995 Written
	Stream Crossings:	2010 Revised
	SMZ's:	2010 Revised
	Wetlands:	2010 Revised
	Reforestation:	1995 Written
	Mechanical Site Preparation:	1995 Written
	Chemical Site Preparation:	1995 Written
	Pesticide Applications:	1995 Written
	Fertilizer Applications:	1995 Written
	Prescribed Burning:	1995 Written
	Wildfire Suppression:	1995 Written
	Wildfire Rehabilitation:	1995 Written
	Public Lands:	No Published BMPs
BMP Effectiveness Studies	Previous Study:	Yes
	Current Study:	Yes
	Most Recent:	2008
	Next Planned:	None Reported
	Agency(s)/Organization Involved:	Academia, Other
	Focus Area(s):	Timber Harvest, Log Landings, SMZs
FTEs (Full-Time Equivalent)	Total FTEs Allocated to Water	1.1
Allocated to Forest Water	Resources in State:	1.1
Resources in State	BMP Revision/ Publication	0.6
	BMP Compliance Monitoring	0.8
	BMP Effectiveness Monitoring	
	Logger Training	0.15
	Logger Certification	
	Forester Training	0.15
	Forester Certification/Registration	
	Landowner Education	
	Landowner Technical Assistance	
	Landowner Financial Assistance	
1	Law Enforcement	

IΓ	Watershed Planning	
l F	Land use Planning	
	Other Research	
	Other Agency Training	
Legislation that Drives or Encourages BMP Development in the State	Federal Water Pollution Act:	Timber Harvesting, Wetland Protection, Stream Crossings, Site Preparation, Forest Protection
	State Forest Practices Act:	
	State Anti-Sediment Law:	
	State Silvicultural Law:	
	State Non-Regulatory BMP Guidelines:	Timber Harvesting, Wetland Protection, Stream Crossings, Site Preparation, Forest Protection
	Additional Legislation For:	Timber Harvesting, Wetland Protection, Stream Crossings, Site Preparation, Forest Protection
Estimated Costs to Implement Forestry BMPs (Low, Medium, High)	Agency:	Minor
	Landowner:	Minor
	Logger:	Minor
Indicators of Forest Water	BMP Compliance:	Yes
Quality Using Standard	Erosion:	Yes
Evaluations	Stream Sediment:	Yes
l <u>L</u>	Stream Temperature:	No
l _	Stream Oxygen:	No
I	Stream pH:	No
I – –	Stream Nutrient Levels:	No
I	Stream Chemicals/Pesticides:	No
I	Macroinvertebrates:	No
l +	Fish:	No No
1	Woody Debris:	No No
1 - F	Fecal Bacteria:	No No
	Other:	No

Indicators of Forest Water	BMP Compliance:	Yes
Quality Using Research	Erosion:	Yes
Evaluations	Stream Sediment:	Yes
	Stream Temperature:	Yes
	Stream Oxygen:	Yes
	Stream pH:	Yes
	Stream Nutrient Levels:	Yes
	Stream Chemicals/Pesticides:	Yes
	Macroinvertebrates:	Yes
	Fish:	Yes
	Woody Debris:	Yes
	Fecal Bacteria:	Yes
	Other:	No